



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. 09/936,930	FILING DATE 09/19/2001	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Tsuyoshi Hiramatsu	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 3273-0146P	CONFIRMATION NO.	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			EXAMINER CHANG, VICTOR S		
FALLS CHUR	(CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771 DATE MAILED: 01/29/200	/0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	*				By			
		Application 1	No.	Applicant(s)	~/			
		09/936,930		HIRAMATSU ET A	L.			
Offic	e Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Victor S Char	ng	1771				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
	sive to communication(s) filed on 1	8 December 200	<u>02</u> .					
,		This action is no						
OVE Cines th	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1,5-7 and 10-19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
en a company de la contratad								
) is/are objected to.							
) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election red	uirement.					
Application Paper			•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The draw	ving(s) filed on is/are: a)□ a	ccepted or b) 🗌 o	bjected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Applica	ant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)			A. []	one (PTO 412) Paner N	lo(s)			
2) Notice of Draft	erences Cited (PTO-892) tsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944 sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	8) o(s) <u>4-7</u> .	Interview Summ Notice of Inform Other:	nary (PTO-413) Paper N nal Patent Application (F	PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/936,930

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-9 and 16-18, and species B, i.e., an adhesive sheet with a pressure sensitive adhesive layer which absorbs 5 g/m² or more of solvent after being immersed in solvent for one second, and claims 2-4, 8 and 9 read on the elected species, in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 2-4, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. It is noted that the composition of the adhesive is

Art Unit: 1771

critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

More particularly, it appears that the composition of the adhesive which effects the specification interaction, such as the solvent absorption rate and solubility, between the adhesive and solvent is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, the absence of the composition of suitable adhesive in the claims renders the instant claimed invention in excess of its provided enablement.

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 2-4, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims merely setting forth physical characteristics desired in article, and not setting forth specific compositions which would meet such characteristics, are invalid as vague, indefinite, and functional since they cover any conceivable combination of ingredients either presently existing or which might be discovered in future and which would impart desired characteristics. As such, the recitation in claim 2, lines 4-10, of "wherein the pressure sensitive adhesive layer absorbs 5 g/m² or more of a solvent contained in the substance to be removed when the pressure sensitive adhesive sheet is immersed in the solvent for 1 second, and wherein the pressure sensitive adhesive sheet after absorbing 5 g/m² of the solvent has a tackiness of 1 cN/25-mm or more" is

Application/Control Number: 09/936,930

Art Unit: 1771

too broad and indefinite since it purports to cover everything which will perform the desired functions regardless of its composition, and, in fact, recites compounds by what it is desired that they do rather than what they are. Also, the recitation is too broad since it appears to read upon materials that could not possibly be used to accomplish purposes intended. *Ex parte Slob* (PO BdApp) 157 USPQ 172.

In claim 4, lines 3-5, the phrase "a pressure-sensitive adhesive constituting the pressure-sensitive adhesive layer comprises such components alone that are insoluble in a solvent" is vague and indefinite. Clarification is requested. Does the term "alone" means "only"?

Finally, it is noted that multiple dependent claim 8 is dependent upon other multiple dependent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2-4, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants' admitted prior art.

It is noted that Applicants appear to have admitted that a pressure sensitive adhesive sheet for removing a solvent containing paste, such as the excess ink at the back of the printing plate, is known (Specification, page 3, lines 3-21). Although the

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/936,930

Art Unit: 1771

prior known art does not expressly teach the solvent absorption property and the tackiness of the suitable adhesives, it is believed that these aforementioned properties are either inherently disclosed or an obvious selection to one of ordinary skill in the art, motivated by the desire to cleanly remove the excess ink, as taught by the prior known art.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In addition, the following references are cited of interest for making pessure sensitive adhesive sheet for removal of ink:

US 4519720 to Merril

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor S Chang whose telephone number is 703-605-4296. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/936,930

Art Unit: 1771

VSC January 21, 2003

DANIEL ZIRKER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300 / 700

Daniel Zukin